

ALAN WATT
"CUTTING THROUGH THE MATRIX"
LIVE ON WTPRN
"OBEDIENCE TO AUTHORITY
AND
ABSURDITY OF TRAINED MAJORITY -
SCIENCE OF PROVIDING PERCEPTIONS FOR PASSIVE
PEOPLE"
(2 Hours)
February 14, 2008

Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - February 14, 2008
(Exempting Music, Literary Quotes and Callers' Comments)

WWW.CUTTINGTHROUGHTHEMATRIX.COM

www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen

Is the truth so elusive, so elusive as you can see
that it ain't enough baby
To bridge the distance between you and me
There's a list of grievance 100 miles long
There's a code of silence and it can't go on

Well you walk with your eyes open
But your lips they remain sealed
While the vows we made are broken
Beneath the truth we fear to reveal
Now I need to know now darlin'
I need to know what's goin' on so c'mon

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix on February 14th, 2008. Newcomers look into my website cuttingthroughthematrix.com and you'll find lots and lots and lots of talks concerning all the different elements that go into creating and controlling and planning this great New World Order that we're all being ushered through at the moment. Not towards the truth and once you come through the new sheep pen you'll find it's vastly different from the one you had before. Much smaller, more controlled and you won't be playing there if they have no function for you. It will be a function only Brave New World and I was thinking about that today. Before I go onto this, I should really talk about the other website. Go into alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for the transcripts in the various languages of Europe. Download them, print them up and pass them around.

I was thinking about that today, the different sciences that have gone on for such a

long, long, long time to do with mind control. Mind control works pretty well on everyone, especially the scientific types, the electronic types, and how it's been used on the public for probably a hundred years that we know of, beginning with World War I starting with basic propaganda and then the experiments that were carried on at Tavistock institute to find ways to motivate vast amounts of men in the public to join up in the military and go abroad and serve. That brought me to the whole idea - I used to wonder why would so many people just rush off and fight; and sure enough, part of it can be explained by the tribal system and you're reared in a tribal system with national anthems and colors and flags, emblems and figures of authority. That's very, very tribal but unfortunately it's outlived its usefulness really or its initial purpose because we have psychopaths at the top of the tribes and they're interbred. They have been for a long, long time, many centuries, and they employ some of the brighter people amongst us you might say to work for them and study us like you study any other animal and find your Achilles Heel and then they exploit you quite simply by using scientific techniques, especially that of the media. They also use electronic devices as well and that's where it's all going is electronic techniques of controlling the mind, even remotely in fact and eventually down to precise control which will be done through microchipping. That's definitely on the cards for the elite before they can feel perfectly safe for their Brave New World.

Going back to the little Tavistock idea from World War I and the BBC and the propaganda techniques that it already understood, it simply was using it on a mass scale with radio when radio first came out and it worked very, very, very well. They came out with novels that they used to do on stage and they acted out these novels on stage and plays about the great hero going off to war and good-bye darling and all that kind of stuff, and then returning afterwards as more of a man than he'd been before and everybody respected him, this great server of his country. However, when they went on to the radio waves they upgraded it all and adapted it for mass audiences who had to visualize because they couldn't see a stage play. They had to visualize the things so they got expert writers and early psychologists and that type of thing so that you could picture the hero and the heroine and all those people in your mind and it works very well.

However, TV took over and it's not good enough yet, even though we emulate everything on TV, it's not good enough. They're going towards the total control of individuals right down to the individual. You must be predictable. At the moment, yes, mass psychology, mass indoctrination works on most people but not everyone and that's the problem. It's the few in the group who can still retain their thinking powers that they want to target and that's why they've been picking young children in school, especially males who have leadership qualities and ask questions. The ones who ask questions, they're very inquiring, teachers now call them "nuisances," but now of course if you ask too many questions you're hyper or you've got problems of some kind or another. They never stop and think maybe this stuff, the social indoctrination they've been taught at school might just be boring to that particular child. Maybe he can see through the indoctrination process. A lot of them do.

There's always another reason for what's being done, especially scientifically, and it's amazing within a generation we've allowed the children to be drugged, to be drugged by the pharmaceutical industries. Not just that. Parents have been indoctrinated mainly through the daytime media and their heroes that they watch

during the daytime media on talk shows that this is good for their children. It's utterly amazing, utterly amazing that this has happened so quickly through simple indoctrination, plus you find lots of parents that they have no time for their children. They want to be left in peace to play their own particular games and the children are supposed to go off and play their own games and the parents don't have much time for them, so a child that's always asking questions is now a nuisance. The old thing about being bonded to your children I think has been broken for many people for a long, long time.

Going back to this war thing: Why do so many people just go off and fight wars? Yes, going off to fight a war to save your tribe was a natural survival instinct at one time; but today, it's so easy to get them to go off. They don't even need much persuasion really and propaganda. Not much at all because they've been trained that the winners in this Brave New World for the last 15, 16, 20 years even with the video games are the guys with the big guns, the Rambo's and the camo or the black suits, and they want to be like them. In those video games there's no such things as an emotional winner. Emotion is out the window. It's just brute force wins the day and children intuitively go towards the powerful. That which is powerful is what they gravitate to. They grow up recognizing subconsciously who the winners are in the system. It's like Mao Tse Tung said, "*power comes from the barrel of a gun and the bigger the gun the better*"; and they can grow up to be the real GI Joes and they're given a free reign pretty well to go off and "kick butt," as they say, which means slaughter people with impunity. That's the sad state of affairs we live in today.

Very few question anything and as I say the propaganda today is almost minimalistic as compared to what it used to be in wars gone by. Heavy propaganda was laid on in previous times. Daily barrages of utter tripe and nonsense was churned out all day long every day by major media. Today, you don't need very much at all. They don't really inquire as to why they're fighting, they just want to go and fight. Then they come home and they bitch and complain as to why their country is falling apart, and then they pick a group that's dominating or they perceive is dominating them and they blame them for the domination. The thing is they never ask themselves if they're so easy to control themselves on mass, perhaps a small minority is a natural order if they're so willing to go off and get slaughtered or fight other people and they never benefit from it themselves; but they can't think that far. They've got to blame someone else for their own misfortunes and that's the world we live in.

Behind it all is this great science and the myriad of agencies of MI6, CIA, Mossad and all the rest of them worldwide that have all merged forces; the KGB. We even have KGB leaders now running Homeland Security in the U.S. and the generation, like Plato said, that lives through the massive changes, where right is wrong and wrong is right and everything is turned upside down, are the last ones to even notice. Most people don't even remember what the Cold War was or how evil the supposed KGB was, as compared to your own good guys and here you have KGB agents running Homeland Security and ex-Nazis and all the rest of it. However, people don't mind as long as they can still continue in a certain amount of freedom and play themselves. Go and play and enjoy themselves. It's a sad commentary, but it's scientifically run.

Everyone has heard of the experiments on shocks that were given in the big

experiment in not just one but different universities, where they'd bring in the supposed people who would administer the shocks to others that see through a glass, other students that were strapped in a chair and they would have a dial with different levels of shock to administered and they administered on command of a supervisor. Those experiments were funded by your tax money to try and find out why people obey authority. As long as someone else is telling the one who administers the shocks to increase it and keep doing it, they generally will do as they're told. You displace the blame onto someone else.

However, even during these experiments they find a few who seem to enjoy administering the shocks. Now the whole point with this was the one who was administering the shocks were told that it was all fake. No real shocks were administered to the person in the chair and the different people that would rotate in and out of those chairs were volunteers who knew they were not going to be shocked. It was all bogus but they would scream and howl and make it very realistic. The whole point as I say is to find out why people obeyed authority even when it became sadistic and cruel.

A book was put out called "**Obedience to Authority**" by Stanley Milgram. That's M-I-L-G-R-A-M and it was Harper Torch Books. It goes through the whole experiment from every possible angle in an existential type of viewpoint. Remember, existentialists really have no real cry to emotions to deal with. They simply look at the observations. You look at the data, look at the types of experiments. Tear apart. Find out why it works the way it does. It's like taking a firearm apart to find out how it works, why it works. Nothing else really matters to them because what they're after are the tools of authority and how to use that authority and obedience and get the obedience from their victims. This stuff is passed up the ladder to all the big intelligence agencies, who really are behind it all too.

Mind control did not being with MKULTRA. That's a great misperception that somehow it wasn't in vogue. Such a lie there. From the days of the first mental hospital in England they were already studying human nature, and even before that, so this kind of information is vital to intelligence agencies who want to control vast amounts, maybe whole countries, nations or people on behalf of their masters who are the "dominant minority" as it's called at the top. People should go and read that book, "**Obedience to Authority**" by Stanley Milgram, because it does give you an insight into what you think are the average person. People you'd even know that would go into categories within this particular book. Male and female were sorted out, different age groups too, to find out if there was any differences and they didn't find any difference even as to the ones who had applied the shocks on command. They just had a different way of dealing with it or even displacing the blame. Quite fascinating really, a study in itself and I'm sure this amongst many, many other experiments, this book has been widely read by those in authority at the top.

What this kind of book portrays as I say is a society that can be manipulated to do anything whatsoever. Believe anything whatsoever by training just like an animal because that's really how they view the public, and unfortunately, regardless of what we think of ourselves as either a spiritual being or whatever you want or however you want to look at it, it's irrelevant to those at the top. They only look at what works for them and they do see us as animals, and unfortunately, regardless

of what we think of ourselves or how we think of ourselves, the techniques they use on us are very effective, very, very effective and that goes for people who belong to religions and those who don't. It doesn't matter. People of all categories come under the effects of these very precise sciences. I'll be back with more after the following messages.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix, going through some of the thoughts that go through my head on a daily basis. Just before this program started, I went through and grabbed a book that came to mind called "**Obedience to Authority**" by Stanley Milgram. That's Harper Torch Books publication and in the Preface - I'll read a little bit of the Preface here because it's interesting how scientists look at us. They cut out all really emotion, all the little things that make you human that tie you to those around you that dispel with the whole idea of love or acceptance and genuineness within a person; or even giving. They have to always boil it down to something in return because they can't understand being human. Scientists are very cold in this respect. They're psychopathic too, the ones who study us.

The Preface says here:

"Obedience, because of its very ubiquitousness, is easily overlooked as a subject of inquiry in social psychology. But without an appreciation of its role in shaping human action, a wide range of significant behavior cannot be understood. For an act carried out under command is, psychologically, of a profoundly different character than action that is spontaneous.

The person who, with inner conviction, loathes stealing, killing, and assault may find himself performing these acts with relative ease when commanded by authority. Behavior that is unthinkable in an individual who is acting on his own may be executed without hesitation when carried out under orders.

The dilemma inherent in obedience to authority is ancient, as old as the story of Abraham. What the present study does is to give the dilemma contemporary form by treating it as subject matter for experimental inquiry, and with the aim of understanding rather than judging it from a moral standpoint."

Alan: See, there's no moral standpoint in observation here. There's no right and wrong in the existentialist type of point of view. It's just what are the effects.

"The important task, from the standpoint of a psychological study of obedience, is to be able to take conceptions of authority and translate them into personal experience. It is one thing to talk in abstract terms about the respective rights of the individual and of authority; it is quite another to examine a moral choice in a real situation. We all know about the philosophic problems of freedom and authority. But in every case where the problem is not merely academic there is a real person who must obey or disobey authority, a concrete instance when the act of defiance occurs. All musing prior to this moment is mere speculation, and all acts of disobedience are characterized by such a moment of decisive action. The experiments are built around this notion.

When we move to the laboratory, the problem narrows: if an experimenter

tells a subject to act with increasing severity against another person, under what conditions will the subject comply and under what conditions will he disobey? The laboratory problem is vivid, intense, and real. It is not something apart from life, but carries to an extreme and very logical conclusion certain trends inherent in the ordinary functioning of the social world.

The question arises as to whether there is any connection between what we have studied in the laboratory and the forms of obedience we so deplored in the Nazi epoch. The differences in the two situations are, of course, enormous, yet the difference in scale, numbers, and political context may turn out to be relatively unimportant as long as certain essential features are retained. The essence of obedience consists in the fact that a person comes to view himself as the instrument for carrying out another person's wishes, and he therefore no longer regards himself as responsible for his actions. Once this critical shift of viewpoint has occurred in the person, all of the essential features of obedience follow. The adjustment of thought, the freedom to engage in cruel behavior, and the types of justification experienced by the person are essentially similar whether they occur in a psychological laboratory or the control room of an ICBM site. The question of generality, therefore, is not resolved in enumerating all the manifest differences between the psychological laboratory and other situations but by carefully constructing a situation that captures the essence of obedience—that is, a situation in which a person gives himself over to authority and no longer views himself as the efficient cause of his own actions."

Alan: That's oh so true. You'd be surprised how many people listening now would go along with pretty well any order if someone with all the apparel of authority—especially the military type with all the spaghetti in their caps that they wear and their epaulets and all the rest of it, the regalia that you're taught to look up to—commanded you to do something that was really obscene. You'd be surprised how many people you know would instantly follow orders too. Maybe even shoot you if they were told to. This understanding although they were doing this in a laboratory was not new. It was not new. This is a low-level experiment, a re-experiment, obviously, because they were doing this type of thing much, much longer ago.

In fact, many of the secret societies just before the French Revolution, and some of the people admitted to this and wrote about it, they were bringing members who were blindfolded and they were told – after they promised that they'd do anything they were told by their superior for freedom. The person in front of them who they could reach out and feel was to be killed and that they would swear that they would do it and they were told that it was their brother. They were given a knife and they're told to stab and if they did it they were accepted, only to find out of course it was an animal they'd used. Instead, they substituted an animal at the last minute, but that's the sort of techniques that were used in the 1700's for some of the assassinations squads for various revolutions and they do have them. They still have them today, only they don't need all these silly rituals to go through them. You'll find a lot of psychopaths will join them and there are psychopaths within society. The problem is most of the ones doing the experimentation on the public unfortunately are psychopaths themselves.

You can see that even with Skinner and the behaviorists. These guys used to take babies and drop them six inches to a foot on to hard tables to watch their reactions

quite calmly and scribble down notes with their observations, which really fascinated them. These characters are real strange, almost inhumane – well, they are inhumane but almost not human as far as their psychological makeup goes. Skinner himself invented the Skinner cage, where he brought his own daughter up in it for a while where she was put into a confined environment, because the whole theory rested on the fact that if you alter a person's environment you alter their behavior. Unfortunately, once again, they get a lot of power out of a person like Skinner's observations, which they can then use on the public.

An example of that is quite simple. The radio and then the television altered the behavior of people, so something in your environment that wasn't there before altered completely your behavior. People used to talk before radio came along or TV. They used to talk to each other. Strange concept and they talked about everything that happened in their lives and their speculations or their wishes or fantasies and all that kind of stuff, or their own history and their family history, things that were rather fascinating. Once radio came in and then television, suddenly everyone is sitting there all quiet listening to this little speaker blabbing away and the funny little man on the screen and all conversation between people pretty well stopped. When the TV goes off, the conversation is like Brzezinski said, it all comprises of all the info they've just been downloaded with by the television set. That's an example of behavior modification through alteration of your immediate environment – something in your environment. Quite simple really. I'll be back with more of this after the following messages.

"Code of Silence" by Bruce Springsteen

There's a code of silence that we don't dare speak
There's a wall between us and river too deep
And we keep pretending
That there's nothing wrong
But there's a code of silence and it can't go on

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through the Matrix, trying to point out some of the techniques that are used on the general public. It's not only the general public at large that were used all the time, experiments are done on individuals throughout the general population without their knowledge. That's why I always tell people no matter what your experience, and I've told myself the same thing, you've got to question all the possibilities that have contributed to it. Always ask yourself too, if you have a way-out type experience: Is it possible that you were part of an experiment? Because these things do happen. They do happen on quite a large scale.

We find that even within the New Age movements, the CIA was heavily involved in the very beginning. People should read the histories on places like Jonestown. They should see who promoted Jones at the beginning and you'll find Ronald Reagan gave him permission with some of his followers to go into mental hospitals and work with the patients. See what happened to the followers eventually and you'll find too the CIA's fingerprints were all over the massacre at the end.

So much goes on that's so gruesome that it's hard for us to even not just comprehend but accept the proof when we find it. It's abhorrent to real people to

know and accept that your own government has agencies specializing - and brutality isn't a bad enough word for it. Again, in their vocabulary there's no good or bad. It's only results that matter, but we don't have the vocabulary in this day and age to describe the kind of acts that go on on people across the planet, put out by agencies and assassins working for the biggest governments - supposedly the most advanced governments on earth.

It's horrific. It's horrifying to see what's done and right down as I say to even the personal level when the CIA were involved with the New Age movement. We've all seen, at the least the ones who looked into the CBC archives to find the Wendy Mesley shows where Nick Begich demonstrated some of the equipment the CIA had and were using back in the 1950's that could put sounds and thoughts right into your head. If they could put sounds and thoughts right into your head, who's to say they couldn't affect the visual cortex as well? And I'm sure that went on in a big scale, especially within some of the big centers that were promoted for the whole New Age movement. Some of them even guaranteed the customers, those who joined some of these classes, they would hear a god talking to them. Guaranteed it and they did because they had the same equipment that Begich had imbedded in the walls. That's why it works you see. However, that's what we know about and that equipment was so small an operator could put it in his pocket, about the size of a TV remote controller, 1950's technology, only used by the CIA and upper groups and MI6. It had to be solid-state circuitry, micro-circuitry, and that was in the days before people even heard of the transistor radio.

We are living as I say in different levels of reality. Those with the higher sciences are so far ahead of anything that we have knowledge of and that's why they get away with it, because when you see the effects of something that cannot possibly happen, it cannot only possibly happen because we think we're not that advanced. Your friends, your relatives and everyone you know would laugh at you, especially the ones who keep up with the scientific magazines. They think that's the latest technology they're being told about therefore they'll ridicule you if you suspect or mention that you suspect there's much, much higher sciences.

It was the same with Bill Cooper. Bill Cooper when he first came out went round the New Age circuits expounding on the fact that the UFOs were not only here, the aliens were here too and that they had been for a long time. I have the old videos of him talking about this and he was a complete believer; and the data that he got came from when he worked in the Navy in intelligence, until he went to Area 51 and realized that they were making those things here as advanced science and that he was a dupe. The best dupe or willing fool is someone who truly believes in the cause of what they're doing and he realized he'd been fed the information deliberately and so that he would go around; a person who had authority at one time, respect for his position as an officer in the Navy intelligence and the people would believe him. When he came to that conclusion that he had been used and duped, he turned 180 degrees and spoke out against it and he told the public he was completely wrong. He told them that he had been fed this information to dupe himself first. You must be believable, therefore you dupe the person first to become a true believer and then your senses don't detect any lies coming from that person. You will believe them. It's much easier to believe them when they themselves believe it. Old technique again, and when he started doing that, that's when he was driven off the road on his motorcycle by a car. That's how he lost his leg. Then he got a visit in the hospital by two men who told him to shut up and not

continue on this path of exposing the fact they were made here. It was very important to convince a public that they came from out there somewhere.

Anybody can be made to be a real dupe and that's what I say: Always question your own experiences. What scientific means could be used to put them there? And I mean that for myself as well. I always question everything. I'll tell people about experiences but I won't tell them what I thought the cause was, because you put it in a question mark box until you have more data. Without the data you're simply being a dupe.

The fact is we live in a horrific system cannot be denied though. The more you go into the studies that have been done on society in times of peace and war would make you shiver. You'd wonder if you want to belong to the human race at all and when you realize where they're going with all of this, which is total, total control, the future truly does look bleak indeed.

I get young people writing to me who are in despair when they've woken up to look at a future, people at 19 and 20 look at a future that seems pretty bleak to them. They cannot even communicate with their friends or parents anymore and these young people have woken up. They know what's coming down. They know what will come down and they can't communicate to others and they ask you what to do. It's a hard call to make because the future certainly does look bleak and I try to remind them that this agenda has taken centuries to build up to this present point and therefore any counter force to it has to also snowball slowly, and so the effects of what we're doing even here tonight might not take shape for 50 or 100 years in the future. That's how you come at this kind of thing. It's an ancient war. It's a war for everything. It's a war that every tyrant in history has dreamed of winning and that's total control over the minds of people.

The closest it has ever come to before in times gone by was when the big religions had an ignorant population indoctrinated into the reality contained within just their holy books. They knew nothing else outside those books. They didn't read those books, by the way. It wasn't remember until really the early 1900's, late 1800's that the general population could even get a chance to be taught to read and write. For thousands of years scribes were the guys in charge of reading and writing. There were many, many kings and queens who hired them to do their reading and writing for them. Kings and queens looked upon that as being a menial task, but the general population are always kept in ignorance through various dark ages in all countries across the planet and all they knew was their own little Plato's Cave and that contained all the writings or the sayings from their priesthoods. Therefore, they were under great mind control; and science being as it is, a double-edged sword comes along with technology and they had to give basic writing and reading to the public, which opened Pandora's Box. That's where science took over to control us from the priesthoods. Back with more after the following messages.

"Inside Job" by Don Henley

While you were sleeping
They came and took it all away
The lanes and the meadows

The places where you used to play

It was an inside job
By the well-connected
Your little protest
Summarily rejected

It was an inside job
Like it always is
Chalk it up to business as usual

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix, and like most things it certainly is an inside job and the greatest tricks that are played upon nations come from the top of the same nations and no one can write about a Brave New World and even give an idea of when it's supposed to start breaking out before the event, and then, like winning the lotto, they just happen to be so lucky and get their wish.

It's rather simple thinking indeed to believe that such machinery for internal policing and ID'ing and all the rest of it is just spontaneously being carried out because of 9/11. It took years in the planning and you can go far back as the beginning of FEMA, where I think even Nixon signed the first bill in to law to set up that organization and you'll find that the Homeland Security in its initial write-ups was to follow the FEMA type structure on a much, much larger scale. It's simply escalating from one to the other to the other. Long, long term planning because back even in the days of Nixon they talked about the possibility of the masses - losing the minds of the over of the masses to the supposed communists. It was a propaganda war for the minds of the public because the psychopathic elite of any country look upon everyone else as part of the masses. You're not an individual. You're part of the masses so the propaganda is aimed at the masses, the simplicity of the masses

The elite of the West were terrified at one point. Not so much of controlled opposition within Russia, which they did control, but because of many copycat groups that sprung up thinking it was all real and demanding justice and freedom and all the rest of it. Therefore, they had to start organizations which were bigger than the CIA that were supposedly only meant to scrutinize people outside of the country. They needed an internal organization to start really even above the FBI that could take track of millions of citizens if need be and they set up the machinery for complete martial law, which they foresaw using one day. They don't set these things up without the intent to use them.

Then when the ***New American Century Agenda*** was drafted up in the '90's, published in '92 I think the first time and then redrafted and rewritten and put out again in '98 with its wish list of countries to conquer and things that must be done. They get their very wish at the beginning, 2001, then it all fell into place. It also showed that every country in the Western world had signed on to the same agenda that took years of negotiations and planning with high bureaucracies in all those countries, years in advance before 9/11 happened to make it all happen so well.

Before I go on to any callers, I would like to just jump from that last book I was reading "***Obedience To Authority***" to a book by Carl Jung, who, regardless of

whatever people think of him and he certainly had his own faults too and his own particular unshakable beliefs, but in "***The Undiscovered Self***" he talks about the character of humanity within the society as he saw it and how they could also be manipulated and used. He talked about the possibility of psychopathic individuals taking over individual countries and what stood in their way; what would aid that psychopath; what would also stand in their way. He's talking on page 55 of "***The Undiscovered Self***" about this. He says:

"Unless he stands firmly on his own feet, the so called objective values profit him nothing..."

Alan: The individual, that is, who's recovering you might say from their fake indoctrination. I call it mental illness and coming out of it.

"...since they then only serve as a substitute for character and so help to suppress his individuality. Naturally, society has an indisputable right to protect itself against arrant subjectivisms, but, in so far as society itself is composed of de-individualized persons..."

Alan: Very important. "*Society itself is composed of de-individualized persons.*" The mass is the mass.

"...it is completely at the mercy of ruthless individualists. Let it band together into groups and organization as much as it likes—it is just this banding together and the resultant extinction of the individual personality that makes it succumb so readily to a dictator. A million zeros joined together do not, unfortunately, add up to one. Ultimately, everything depends on the quality of the individual, but the fatally shortsighted habit of our age is to think only in terms of large numbers and mass organizations, though one would think that the world had seen more than enough of what a well disciplined mob can do in the hands of a single madman. Unfortunately, this realization does not seem to have penetrated very far and our blindness is extremely dangerous. People go on blithely organizing and believing in a sovereign remedy of mass action without the least consciousness of the fact that the most powerful organizations can be maintained only by the greatest ruthlessness and the cheapest of slogans."

Alan: Very important part to remember.

"Curiously enough the churches to want to avail themselves of mass action in order to cast out the devil with Beelzebub—the very churches whose care is the salvation of the individual soul. They too do not appear to have heard anything of the elementary axiom of mass psychology, that the individual becomes morally and spiritually inferior in the mass, and for this reason they do not burden themselves over much with their real task of helping the individual to achieve a metanoia, or rebirth of the spirit—deo concedente. It is, unfortunately, too clear that if the individual is not truly regenerated in spirit, society cannot be either, for society is the sum total of individuals in need of redemption. I can therefore see it only as a delusion when the churches try as they apparently do to rope the individual into a social organization..."

Alan: That's what the churches have become.

"...and reduce him to a condition of diminished responsibility, instead of raising him out of the torpid, mindless mass and making clear to him that he is the one important factor and that the salvation of the world consists in the salvation of the individual soul. It is true that mass meetings parade such ideas before him and seek to impress them on him by dint of mass suggestion, with the unedifying result that when the intoxication has worn off the mass man promptly succumbs to another even more obvious and still louder slogan. His individual relation to God would be an effective shield against these pernicious influences. Did Christ ever call his disciples to him at a mass meeting? Did the feeding of the five thousand bring him any followers who did not afterwards cry "Crucify him!" with the rest, when even the rock named Peter showed signs of wavering? And are not Jesus and Paul prototypes of those who, trusting their inner experience, have gone their own individual ways, disregarding public opinion?"

Alan: What he's pointing out here is the fact that we're run by mass movements – mass movements that neglect the individual and they use slogans and mass suggestion which makes you lose; you drown individually within the mass. You lose that essence that is you, that driving force that is you. It doesn't lift you up. It submerges you into an accepted social organization, which again, as we all know, is run by powerful people for control. They work in cahoots with the dominant minority and the bankers and all the rest of them.

Well I'll go to the phones now and we'll see what callers we've got. I think we've got Rick from California on the line. Are you there, Rick?

Rick: Yes. Hi Alan.

Alan: Hello.

Rick: Now are you doing?

Alan: Not bad.

Rick: Not bad. I'm a little bit depressed. I wanted to share or compare some things that are going on right now with the predictive programming. I'll just tell you after the commercial.

Alan: Hold on. We'll be back after these messages.

HOOR 2:

"The Future" by Leonard Cohen

Give me back my broken night
my mirrored room, my secret life
it's lonely here,
there's no one left to torture
Give me absolute control
over every living soul
And lie beside me, baby,
that's an order!

Take the only tree that's left
and stuff it up the hole
in your culture
Give me back the Berlin wall
give me Stalin and St Paul
I've seen the future, brother:
it is murder.

Things are going to slide, slide in all directions
Won't be nothing
Nothing you can measure anymore
The blizzard, the blizzard of the world
has crossed the threshold
and it has overturned
the order of the soul

When they said REPENT REPENT
I wonder what they meant
When they said REPENT REPENT
I wonder what they meant
When they said REPENT REPENT
I wonder what they meant.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix and we have Rick on the line. Are you still there, Rick?

Rick: Yes, I am.

Alan: Yes, go ahead.

Rick: I just want to summarize three little TV shows just to tell you why I'm feeling the way I'm feeling. In early 2001 there was a TV show put out called "*The Lone Gunman*" in which a faction of the government was going to take remote control of some planes and try to fly them into the World Trade Center - you remember that, right?

Alan: Yes.

Rick: Anyway, just at the last moment they yanked the thing up and the plane they got manual override. Well, there's two TV shows or there's one a video game being put out now called "Shattered Union" and there's a TV show being put out called "Jericho." In the video game intro which you can get download flash on the internet and the TV program which you can download, in both of them the government or a faction of the government sets off a nuclear bomb driven in by truck while the president's giving a speech in both of them. Then after that there's a civil war in America and total breakdown and chaos and you know I was in Von's last night buying some soda and I heard them saying on - because they have this intercom where they do the advertising. They say, "do you have your emergency supplies ready? Are you being stocked up?" I want to do things. I want to help people. I want to do my artwork and I just feel like it's closing in, like they're not even hiding it. The government is telling us now they're going to nuke us.

Alan: The only thing they've got going for them is "cry wolf, cry wolf, cry wolf"

and everyone knows that you aren't going to get a bunch of Arabs coming over hear in camels with snorkels on coming up under the Great Lakes coming to do trouble. I mean it's not going to happen, so anything that does happen has to come from the top and they will have to bring something on; but personally I think too, I think they're going to eventually create pandemics or at least tell us that there's outbreaks. I thought back to the first movie that Dustin Hoffman was in called "**Outbreak**" and why they were hyping that up so much and then it was followed by a whole slew of them. Then of course they had their daytime talk shows with experts suddenly appearing on the scene, instant experts, to tell you how real this could be and how it's just around the corner and we're due a plague. Plagues would be the easiest way to control people.

This isn't just to control them. Remember, *"it's a 100 years war."* That's what Rumsfeld said himself. This could be a 100 years war, and by that, they mean it's a war to completely reshape the whole globe and all society in every country and a completely new way of living, with a scientific type of oligarchy or bureaucracy running our lives for us and even recreating humanity itself. They're calling it the post-human era. This is all programming us for what's to come so that it will seem - we'll expect it. We won't all start asking - you see, when something hits you all of a sudden you ask too many questions. When you've been programmed in advance through fiction et cetera, then you'll accept it without much question and you obey. It's all again to do with obedience, that book I was reading at the beginning of the show: how do mass populations obey the authorities.

Rick: In these three episodes they're not even officially - I mean they're saying we'll blame it on the Arabs but they're all saying we're going to do it. It's like they're not even hiding it anymore.

Alan: They are too and you see if you were to nuke - and this goes back to the NATO plans that they signed back in the early '70's. They're supposed to be available to every citizen in a NATO country and yet the "Man Alive" series in Britain had to send to the government for a copy because they were not available from the post office or the library. They talked about what they were going to do in the event of a plague, outbreak or a nuclear contamination and the U.S., Canada, Britain, they all signed this agreement that the cities had to be contained. The villages, towns contained and any individual trying to break free from a contaminated area is to be shot on sight; and if whole groups try to break free, they're to be bombed by CS gas from the air. That's what they have planned but also they'll have mass evacuations from certain areas to others. Once you get populations moving as per refugees, that's the whole point of it. They've got a complete war situation scenario on the go where they can reshape society, build the new habitat areas or put you into existing overcrowded cities and destroy the old. This is all part of the new greening, the New World Order, the Brave New World scenario they want. Mass populations on the move and we saw that being done with New Orleans when thousands of people were evacuated out of there. They claim that can't even trace where they put them all. That's what they tell us. We don't even know if they're still alive or what happened to them.

Rick: I have a friend who was a journalist and he went to New Orleans and did a story about it, and he said that Blackwater was there killing people, open season. White supremacists were given open season to shoot blacks and there were concentration camps. They herded people under bridges and they were dying and

they kept them there, detained them and it was total – so like some of the stuff has already happened with Katrina.

Alan: I'll bet you anything in New Orleans there were probably hundreds of social scientists there studying the reactions of everything that was happening so that they could use that in the future. They never miss a chance for that kind of thing.

Rick: All right, well thank you very much Alan for letting me talk.

Alan: Thanks for calling.

Rick: All right. You have a good night.

Alan: Bye now.

Rick: Bye-bye.

Alan: Now we've got Moe again in Oregon. Are you there? Hello?

Moe: Yes. Can you hear me good?

Alan: Yes I can.

Moe: Okay. Hi, Alan. I would like to have your comments for you and more question. My comment regarding your first hour when you talked about obedience and you know many religions are trained that to be obedient, especially Bahai since the birth 150 years ago. I mean if you don't follow exactly what they say they kick you out of their religion and they cannot not talk politics. They cannot be involved or say anything about government or anything like that. Also, on top of it, in Iran many of them were killed by Moslem because they don't have a self-defense because their religion controls them and they're telling them you give your soul for humanity and therefore you have no self-defense and many of them killed and many of them escaped from Iran and et cetera. Also, if you go back, they had a national ID card 650 years ago. Every Bahai has a Bahai identification card. They're called BIC and it has nine digit numbers, all over the world; so if you don't carry that with you, you cannot attend to their meetings or special meetings et cetera. Then we go back to the church and recently they have trained the clergyman that to calm the people and to tell them the same thing. Obey this. Obey this. Obey the government. Don't question anything et cetera to and to compliment your first hour thing, again if we go back to Islam that in United Kingdom if a Moslem person doesn't go to the mosque they give him three warnings and after that they kill that person in the United Kingdom. I mean this is what is going on. I mean religion is opium of the masses. That's what I think. What do you believe?

Alan: It wouldn't matter if a deity came down here and spoke to the people today. As soon as he was gone it would be reduced – the whole message would be reduced down to its common denominator that would suit the masses because the masses unfortunately do want—I'm talking about base people—base people themselves want simplicity and ritual and a set of simple rules to follow, because masses means mass. It means sameness. They want sameness. They want

everyone to be the same as themselves and that's what all religions reduce the individual down to is a sameness; and as you say, if you break free of the sameness, then you're shunned or even worse things will happen to you. In fact the people around you, you actually threaten their own view of life by the very fact that you can break free; that scares them, that what they're following is not so omnipotent after all, so they themselves will turn on you. You shake their paradigm of believing. It's the same with the story of Moses. I mean the whole story that's always told over and over in all religions and they're all the same story, many stories. You'll find that the whole story of Moses going up a mountain was the illumined man climbing above the masses and that the masses were told they could not follow. They were held back by lightening and all that stuff. In other words, they were still base people. Only the illumined one could go up and he came back down with the laws, to find them remaking a god out of gold, silver and jewels—things they understood. In other words, they were still slaves. Not slaves in the sense that you think traditionally they are. What it always meant was they were slaves to the material world and to wealth and greed and baseness. That's what it stood for.

Moe: Exactly. And that other friend, the caller before me, he talked about the shattered union. He needs to watch "Right at Your Door". That's the movie released in the United States right now. But I think to see that movie he will learn a lot too. My question from you is this: What's the relationship between all religions and the New World Order?

Alan: That again has been written about by the big boys and Gorbachev talked about it. He was a member of the head of the Soviet system before he became the leader of this particular movement with the Green Cross, the Knights of Lazarus at the Presidio in the U.S. He wrote in his book "***Towards a New Civilization***," although he himself was an atheist, he claimed in the book, he said that religion has always been used for control purposes over the masses and he said we are creating a new world religion that will be based on a form of Earth worship. What he meant was the greening – the whole idea that we are here as another animal and we couldn't put ourselves above any other animal or above any tree et cetera of the planet; but of course you'll have a new priesthood dominating the public and as Orwell said, "*some people are more equal than others in such utopias.*" In other words, there's going to be a hierarchy of the same old system with a new religion, but the same old stuff where we're told what to do what to think and we're here to serve the planet. Service is ultimate in this New World Order agenda. The whole idea of eventually working for your little peanut rewards will go out and eventually you'll be born with a duty, a service to the state, and that was written about by Cecil Rhodes and that group blossomed into the Royal Institute for International Affairs and the Council on Foreign Relations. In all of their major publications they talk about service to the planet and of course that means there's a hierarchy involved. It's just a transformation of the old system into a new improved version for a new era.

Moe: Exactly. And you know everybody says New World Order, Bahai New World Order, Islamic New World Order, Christian New World Order. Actually there was an event in Oregon. They invited everybody to attend to the conference. It was just trying to sell us stuff; he was just talking a bunch of rubbish, the priest who invited a lot of people to come attend. He wants too much money, so all of them are here to make money. Most of them are living in mansions. I mean they're corrupt. All of

them including the Ayatollah in Iran et cetera and basically they want all our money and the money that you cannot even save and you have to give a donation. Donation and they say they will do this. They will do that. But they don't. So thank you very much indeed.

Alan: Thanks for calling.

Moe: You have a good night.

Alan: You too. I'll be back with more after the following messages.

"The Universal Soldier" by Donovan

He's five foot two,
and he's six feet four,
he fights with missiles and with spears,
he's all of thirty-one,
and he's only seventeen,
he's been a soldier for a thousand years.

He's a Catholic, a Hindu,
an atheist, a Jain,
a Buddhist, and a Baptist and a Jew,
and he knows, he shouldn't kill,
and he knows, he always will,
killing for me, my friend, and me for you.

And he's fighting for Canada,
he's fighting for France,
he's fighting for the USA
and he's fighting for the Russians,
he's fighting for Japan,
and he thinks we'll put an end to war this way.

And he's fighting for democracy,
he's fighting for the Reds,
he says it's for the peace of all,
he's the one who must decide,
who's to live and who's to die,
and he never sees the writing on the wall.

But without him, how would Hitler
have condemned him at Lwów, (German concentration camp)
without him Cesar would have stood alone,
he's the one, who gives his body
as a weapon of the war,
and without him all this killing can't go on.

He's the universal soldier,
and he really is to blame,
his orders come from far away, no more,
they come from here and there,
and you and me and brothers,
can't you see,

this is not the way we put the end to war.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix, and we have Steven on the line from Germany. Are you there, Steven?

Steven: Yes I am. Can you hear me well?

Alan: Yes, go ahead.

Steven: Okay. I wanted to ask you something that I found in your first book, Alan, and the topic is – you said that there should be food for thought that things are from pigs are used for humans. Can you elaborate on this? Do you know what I mean?

Alan: Are you talking about the pictures and symbolism?

Steven: No pictures. Pig the animal.

Alan: Oh pigs, the animal?

Steven: Yes, I understood it the way that you suggested that humans were made of pigs?

Alan: Oh, that's the big joke of the scientists at the top. It's also a joke from some of the orders at the top when they mention what seems to be a fact as far we know, but who knows. Then again, they say that the closest animal to human is not the ape at all but the pig, as far as its particular physiology goes, the workings of it; and that's why of course they've tried pig transplants and that's why they've also gone ahead and combined from the early '70's combined human DNA with that of pigs. I don't know if people in the U.S. realize the Department of Agriculture in the farming were doing that in the '70's, so most pigs that you eat today have human genes in them.

Steven: So you didn't want to suggest that humans were made of pigs?

Alan: No, not at all. Regardless of what they say at the top, always remember they have a meaning behind it. Generally, it's a form of mocking the public themselves. The only thing as I say that apparently from all the knowledge we're given, even if it's true, is that we are closer to pigs than apes; but they're always playing jokes on us, so who really, really knows? That's what some of the old ancients used to say to. That was supposedly why they didn't want to eat the pigs. That knowledge was known back then for instance. However, if you go into the writings of the Hindu with much older histories and again you've got to take everything with a pinch of salt and don't take anything at face value. There's often allegories behind them. They do talk about a time in the ancient past and they go back millions of years with the histories and mythologies. Most ancient history really takes a form of mythology, and they say that the Black Sea area at one time was a valley and that an elite were experimenting with different kinds of humans and that the experiment went wrong when different kinds of humans began to eat each other and so they flooded that, which became the Black Sea. Interesting enough, a National Geographic Magazine a few years ago shows them going down

in the Black Sea with a submarine and they found layers of settlements and old ancient buildings deep below the waters there on both sides of the valley. There was habitation there at one time and so it seems true that it was flooded at one point. Who knows? That's all you have to really go on. The rest is speculation.

Steven: May I add another thing?

Alan: Yes.

Steven: In the UK there was somebody arrested because someone else thought that his MP3 player was a gun.

Alan: I know. There's a lot of things happening now with that very thing. Unfortunately, it mainly female callers with cell phones who have watched too much television and all the dramas where all the cops are always pulling guns out. We've had 20 years now on every show since Starsky & Hutch of the cops drawing their guns out standing in a combat stance and that's conditioned in the brains of people now. This poor guy got off a bus or something and pulled out his MP3 and tried to put both hands on it and a woman thought he was taking a pistol out of his pocket, holding it in a combat position ready to fire and she called the police. The guy got his DNA taken, his fingerprints, iris scan, the whole lot, and even though they knew it was mistake, he's now on that database for life as being put down for a firearms call.

Another guy I know, last year he was mailing off some chocolates to his mother for Mother's Day and a woman was passing by in a car, phoned him in as a suspicious character because he had a couple of days growth of beard. When he went in the post office, in came the SWAT team, pushed him against a wall, frisked him right down, did a complete examination and ripped his box apart of the chocolates. This is happening all over the place, lots of incidences like this.

Steven: May I make a comment and then go?

Alan: Yes.

Steven: I just wanted to say one thing. It's not as fast but it's the same thing in Germany. In Bavaria there was somebody who worked that was assaulted by a SWAT team because somebody heard him talk about the government at his medical treatment. The second thing I wanted to say is that I'm very informed that much of your material is discussed in the internet and I'm very thankful that you are putting it out. Thank you very much.

Alan: Thanks very much. Keep on listening. Thanks for calling. Yes, that's interesting what's happening with all the paranoia that's being generated, again mainly through fictional movies and dramas and stuff, and the public can't tell the difference now. It's going to get a lot worse too, unfortunately. I'll be back with more after the following messages.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix, just showing you some of the stuff that's going on in the world and that last incident with the guy with the MP3 player was from **Metro.co.uk**, February 12th and it says:

"Arrested, Caged and DNA tested for Using MP3."

"A commuter was arrested at gunpoint and had his DNA and fingerprints taken simply for listening to his MP3 player while waiting for a bus. Darren Nixon was surrounded by armed police after his music player was mistaken for a gun. When a passer-by saw the 28-year-old get out his black Philips machine to change tracks, she panicked and dialed 999."

Alan: They should have arrested her and forced her to have her eyes examined.

"Police tracked Mr Nixon using CCTV."

Alan: That's those cameras that are everywhere across Britain.

"As he got off the bus home from work he was surrounded by a firearms unit, who bundled him into a van. He was then put in a cell and his fingerprints, DNA and mugshot were taken before he was released. Although police realised it was a false alarm, Mr. Nixon, from Stoke-on-Trent, now has to live with his DNA stored on a national database. The force will also keep on record that he was arrested on suspicion of a firearms offence."

Alan: That's for life now because of some silly woman who didn't know what was going on.

"Mr. Nixon said: 'It was unreal – I had a completely clean record before this and have always been a law-abiding citizen.' The mechanic said that, as he got off the bus, he saw a policeman gesture but could not hear what he said 'As I got closer, I could see that two of the cops had guns. My heart was racing a mile a minute. One of them was hiding behind a car door, looking down his sight at me, and the other was shouting orders and pointing a gun at me. 'I turned the music off and they were telling me to put my hands up in the air.' DNA records are kept for life so that they can be matched to future samples. Even suspects who are wrongfully arrested normally stay on the database. Staffordshire Police said a member of the public reported seeing a man pull a gun from his pocket, grip it with both hands and aim. A spokesman added: 'An operation was put in place and a man matching the description was detained.'"

Alan: That's the kind of things that happen in this day and age of hype and terror and people watching too many movies and especially all the cop dramas that are out there, where every five minutes they're pointing guns at someone's head, standing in a combat stance. You've got silly people who can't tell fact from fiction and they're living in terror all the time and they're suspicious of everybody around them. Terrible state to live in and it's being fostered from the top of course. They want a paranoid society and unfortunately the ones at the bottom that haven't a clue, they can't think for themselves, will see this kind of thing all around them they'll be so paranoid.

Going back to ***"The Undiscovered Self"*** just to do a little piece on this that ties in with some of this, what's happening with the state being the dictator. It says:

"The state like the church demands enthusiasm self-sacrifice and love..."

Alan: Demands it.

"And if religion requires or presupposes the fear of God then the dictator state takes good care to provide the necessary terror. When the rationalist directs the main force of his attack against the magical effect of the right as asserted by tradition, he has in reality completely missed the mark. The essential point the psychological effect is overlooked although both parties make use of it for directly opposite purposes. A similar situation prevails with regard to their respective conceptions of the goal. The goals of religion deliverance from evil, reconciliation with God, rewards in the hereafter and so on turn into worldly promises about freedom from care for one daily bread, the just distribution of material goods, universal prosperity in the future and shorter working hours. But the fulfillment of these promises is as far off as paradise only furnishes yet another analogy and underlines the fact that the masses have been converted from an extra mundane goal to a purely worldly belief which is extolled with exactly the same religious fervor and exclusiveness that the creeds display in the other direction."

Alan: In other words, he's telling you that that's what religions always turn into: Promises for things in the here and now, the material needs, all the things that we worry about, will be supplied by some benevolent entity if we just follow these particular rules and obey the laws of the state. It's interesting to note that the Archbishop of Canterbury in England got in the soup the other day for proposing that because there are so many other religions now in Britain that they should bring in the Sharia law for Muslims and combine it with the laws of England. Of course that would put another standard for a particular group outside the usual natives of Britain; and then the Queen piped in apparently, because she is the titular head as being the sovereign in Britain. You see the sovereign is the head of everything and she's also the head technically of the Church of England and the Archbishop is still responsible to her, so she was voicing her concerns of this creating a double standard where certain transgressions would be settled in a particular closed religious court, as opposed to the public one. However, they couldn't really go any further with that because technically you see they already have that for specific Jewish laws in Britain and elsewhere, where there are courts that are designed for them to handle certain problems of transgression of their own religions away from public eyes.

We already have that and now it's an open book now. It's an affair for all if this goes ahead because you'd have to do the same with all religions that exist on the planet, give them their own special little courts to deal with the problems far from prying eyes of the public. That's the mayhem which they envisage, mind you, long ago when they planned the integration of the countries of the world and multiculturalism and all the rest of it, and people who belong to groups and creeds and all the rest of it will have their differences and their little battles. Maybe even big battles as they try to settle it and generally between groups it's just the bigger ones generally win. It doesn't benefit the individual at all really.

It's unfortunate that some of us have to live with the masses; we have no option. We're supposed to be social creatures, but, to be honest with you, the more you understand about this world the harder it becomes to be able to even go in to the general public because you realize that whatever they talk about is simply their programming. They repeat or parrot their programming. Generally, most of the

public today are talking about what's on television or what they've seen. They have no real thoughts of their own. They're oblivious of the spraying above their heads, which is continuing daily. They would actually first see it and talk about if Oprah Winfrey was to make a big deal about it or somebody who's famous. Until someone who's famous does make a big thing about it, they will not see it. It's as simple as that. If the sky was tangerine or marmalade, like the Beatles sung a long time ago, it wouldn't matter to them. If no one else complained about it, they wouldn't think either. They like to think what everyone else thinks and that's the sadness of the world we live in.

The mass man has to - and I think that's part of the whole trial of being on earth. I think that's the trials we go through, breaking out of the mass and being an individual; and remember the whole concept of individuality was an alien thing only a couple of hundred years ago. People came out of serfdom in Europe. The masses were serfs. They served Norman feudal system with its rigid hierarchy, and you were born with your place in life and that was further superimposed on by the religion that kept you in that place. You didn't question anything and all that you knew was what happened in your daily life and your farm and maybe to your neighbors, and the decrees were passed down and read in the public square by the town crier who spoke on behalf of the Crown. That was your whole understanding and what you came home with on Sunday after a sermon at the church. That was your view of life and the world. That was your Plato's Cave that you were born into.

Individuality did not really start until a middle class came out in existence during the Industrial Era and gave some time for schooling. Schooling comes from the old Latin word for leisure. You need leisure to learn. I'll be back with more after the following messages.

Hi folks. I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through the Matrix. We've got a couple of callers on the line. We've got Al from New York City. Are you there, Al?

Al: Yes Alan. Good night.

Alan: How are you?

Al: Oh okay. Every thing is okay. I just wanted you to expand on two topics. It's probably unrelated to what you've been speaking about tonight. One is the two movies of "***Planet of the Apes***" and meanings behind all the symbolism, especially in the second movie; and something on the history of Ethiopia and why Ethiopia was so hard for these powers to conquer in the past and is that where the troglodytes originate from, and did the Ethiopians have knowledge of them, why they were able to hold them off for so long?

Alan: The "***Planet of the Apes***" just came really from again the Darwinist view that we all came from Africa. It was a play on black and white. It was very evident and then of course they go into the mutation ideas, that it could have turned out one way or turned out the other with one becoming dominant and the other one inferior and then vice versa. It was like swapping roles and of course the whole idea of the nuclear weaponry at the very, very end with the big god that was a nuclear weapon that was treated like a deity. It was also to terrify the general public. When that came out, remember, they were threatening to nuke us all the

time because of the Cold War, so that intensified the nuking idea as well and that differences had to be put aside for survival sake, so it was two or three meanings all came out in the "Planet of the Apes".

With Ethiopia, it's got an ancient history for sure and they had their own - Ethiopia had a big population of Ethiopian Jews for hundreds of years. I think they called them the Falashas and they brought them out back in the '70's I think. Israel flew them out during a famine and brought them to Israel, but behind them they also left two amazing structures within the mountains there, because inside the mountains there was a very, very old (they don't know how old, no one really knows) churches that were inside the mountains. They'd been carved from inside the mountain with all the rooms and even had big pillars. One of them was the Pillar of Solomon with all the writings on it. It was always kept covered with wall hangings. They had their own ceremony of the ark being carried around, partly Egyptian, partly Judaic. It all comes from Egypt really and even then, some of them, even today they still have a lot of prejudice within Israel itself as to whether they're true Jews or not. There's a lot of racial prejudice within Judaism itself. In fact, I think they were also forbidden to give blood in Israel. A lot of people were complaining they didn't want the blood from different colored people. However, Ethiopia certainly has had an ancient tradition that paralleled Judaism and Judaism itself is just a hodgepodge of different religions that preexisted their own particular religion put into a different format. We know the flood scenario was copied—the Epic of Gilgamesh that came from Sumer, and simply re-updated and many of the stories in the Old Testament are actually used in Egypt much earlier and also even from India. A lot of them came from India. They had a Noah story there as well. These stories are very, very old and we'll never get to the bottom of them.

However, the esoteric meaning of the ark is the Ark of the Covenant, which is as long as the sun comes up and travels in an arc across the sky everyday then you'll be blessed because the sun gives life to plants and all the rest of it, which our animals eat and you'll have food. It's quite simple really. It's always the sun that's behind it that gives its life for the world and dies everyday for the world at sundown.

Al: Okay. Thank you, Alan. After I received your materials, they're really great and I watched the movie in a different light, "***The Planet of the Apes***" especially. Especially the scenes when they're on the checkerboard.

Alan: That was quite good, the checkerboard there.

Al: And upside down crosses. All right, good night Alan. Thanks.

Alan: Thanks for calling. Then we've got Leo from Massachusetts. Are you there, Leo?

Leo: Yes, yes. Great to hear you again. I know you're also on one of those other competing networks. But anyway, my issue was that I think that this guy [inaudible]. Are you familiar with him?

Alan: Who?

Leo: [inaudible] the atomist thinker.

Alan: Okay.

Leo: Talking about the mass world and the elite world. Are you familiar with him?

Alan: Yes and other ones of similar ilk. There's different ones along the same vein.

Leo: And he spoke about the death of a thousand flea bites and that's what we have to inflict on the system by any means necessary and any methods we can. I think the real problem ethically is that many of the good things are inculcated into their system to the point where sometimes to make your point you seemingly are beyond the pail or over the edge over the top and it's very difficult to fight if you know what I mean.

Alan: Yes, I know. That's the whole thing. Our reality as you say is literally enmeshed with our indoctrination from the ones at the top. Our whole culture was given to us. That's the problem, including the good parts that are blended with the negatives and so on. We'd have to break free as you say cut-by-cut, bite-by-bite. It's almost like having a hundred anchors holding a ship down. If you want to break free, you have to take one anchor up at a time or maybe a whole bunch up at a time from different directions in order to break free from what is a totalitarian system that's becoming worse by the day.

Leo: I think the problem is that people don't see themselves as the Lilliputians and this is the problem. They don't envision themselves in that manner and then there's the crux of it.

Alan: Yes that's true and Swift, who wrote about that, had many allegories of society. He was an elitist himself and so he wrote it from an elitist point of view, but he also shows you how they see the world and how the little people see the world, how they view the world. He actually gives you some ideas of how you can break free if you had the ability, even though he was an elitist himself.

Leo: I think it goes back to also - I'm 62 and I'm kind of child of the revolution of the '60's and I experienced the whole - well, without most of the drugs. I didn't really get into that but I experienced an whole revolution in Boston area at the time and my feeling is that the '70's advanced into something that they didn't like and so in the '80's they brought on the BG's and made this - they take an idea and they twist it into another form and so that thinking about your fellow man became a stylistic expression of view. This is the critical point that people don't see.

Alan: Yes, it's true. If you do have a movement that's going off in a different direction than the one planned, they will try and bend it into - you see, they always say that they can use everything that happens as an opportunity, including things that they didn't foresee, and when something goes awry for them they put their own leaders out and twist it off in some other direction, so you're quite right. They had to stop this whole idea of not just caring about other people but also standing up for other peoples' rights. They have to stop that and you're quite correct about that.

Leo: The thing I see mostly is that we have a culture that was leaning towards the whole dropout, which is the most scary thing the system can't deal with, because once you drop out their whole reason for being doesn't exist anymore. We basically make them obsolete.

Alan: You can make them obsolete. Their big trick is to make you believe that they are essential for the smooth running of your life. You know that the government in Belgium went on strike and they moved out, they didn't have a sitting there for months and months, and the country went on as normal. In fact they had no laws passed. The taxes didn't go up and they weren't missed at all. That goes to show you.

Leo: It's sad to see how well we've been brainwashed and I think it's on a daily basis and some people do get out and some people don't; unfortunately, there's not enough of us, yet.

Alan: I know, I know.

Leo: How do we make them? How do we bring them over?

Alan: I think the time is coming where Joe Average will be unable even with his indoctrination to deny what's happening and he'll have no choice but to start waking up very quickly to preserve himself and his family and his loved ones.

Leo: You're much more positive than I am.

Alan: Well, you've got to have some hope. Thanks for calling.

Leo: Okay, bye-bye.

Alan: From Hamish and myself, up in Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your god or your gods go with you.

(Transcribed by Linda)